K. SMITH DECL. ISO DEFS.' MTN TO DISMISS LEVIN SIMES CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDERS

Jane Doe LS 112 v. Uber Technologies,

Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05286-CRB

28

1	
1	Jane Doe LS 284 v. Uber Technologies,
2	Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05363-CRB
3	Jane Doe LS 126 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05370-CRB
4	Jane Doe LS 265 v. Uber Technologies,
5	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:23-cv-05377-CRB
6	Jane Doe LS 200 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05387-CRB
7	Jane Doe LS 66 v. Uber Technologies,
8	Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05414-CRB
9	Jane Doe LS 317 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05424-CRB
10	Jane Doe LS 234 v. Uber Technologies,
11	Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05433-CRB
12	Jane Doe LS 191 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05573-CRB
13	Jane Doe LS 273 v. Uber Technologies,
14	Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05946-CRB
15	Jane Doe LS 470 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05207-CRB
16	Jane Doe LS 232 v. Uber Technologies,
17	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05327-CRB
18	Jane Doe LS 373 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05328-CRB
19	Jane Doe LS 462 v. Uber Technologies,
20	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05329-CRB
21	Jane Doe LS 226 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05330-CRB
22	Jane Doe LS 166 v. Uber Technologies,
23	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05331-CRB
24	Jane Doe LS 122 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05332-CRB
25	Jane Doe LS 202 v. Uber Technologies,
26	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05333-CRB
27	Jane Doe LS 416 v. Uber Technologies,
28	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05335-CRB
-	

1	Jane Doe LS 305 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05338-CRB
2	
3	Jane Doe LS 201 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05354-CRB
4	Jane Doe LS 189 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05379-CRB
5	Jane Doe LS 272 v. Uber Technologies,
6	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:24-cv-05390-CRB
7	Jane Doe LS 199 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05402-CRB
8	Jane Doe LS 279 v. Uber Technologies,
9	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:24-cv-05420-CRB
10	Jane Doe LS 139 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05527-CRB
11	Jane Doe LS 487 v. Uber Technologies,
12	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05611-CRB
13	Jane Doe LS 141 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05634-CRB
14	Jane Doe LS 423 v. Uber Technologies,
15	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:24-cv-05676-CRB
16	Jane Doe LS 491 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05678-CRB
17	Jane Doe LS 441 v. Uber Technologies,
18	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:24-cv-05751-CRB
19	Jane Doe LS 518 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05761-CRB
20	Jane Doe LS 319 v. Uber Technologies,
21	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05800-CRB
22	Jane Doe LS 484 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05824-CRB
23	
24	Jane Doe LS 4 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05861-CRB
25	Jane Doe LS 368 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05898-CRB
26	
27	Jane Doe LS 274 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05902-CRB
	[-

28

1	Jane Doe LS 359 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05908-CRB
2	
3	Jane Doe LS 342 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05913-CRB
4	Jane Doe LS 304 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05914-CRB
5	Jane Doe LS 369 v. Uber Technologies,
6	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05915-CRB
7	Jane Doe LS 269 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05922-CRB
8	Jane Doe LS 93 v. Uber Technologies,
9	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05925-CRB
10	Jane Doe LS 7 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05926-CRB
11	Jane Doe LS 504 v. Uber Technologies,
12	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05928-CRB
13	Jane Doe LS 180 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05936-CRB
14	Jane Doe LS 119 v. Uber Technologies,
15	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05937-CRB
16	Jane Doe LS 197 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06003-CRB
17	Jane Doe LS 314 v. Uber Technologies,
18	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:24-cv-06016-CRB
19	Jane Doe LS 188 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06022-CRB
20	Jane Doe LS 230 v. Uber Technologies,
21	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06026-CRB
22	Jane Doe LS 209 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06033-CRB
23	Jane Doe LS 532 v. Uber Technologies,
24	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06927-CRB
25	Jane Doe LS 534 v. Uber Technologies,
26	<i>Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:24-cv-07142-CRB
-	

27

28

- iv -

1	KYLE N. SMITH ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)
2	ksmith@paulweiss.com JESSICA E. PHILLIPS ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)
3	jphillips@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
4	& GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW
5	Washington DC, 20006 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
6	
7	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
8	RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- v -

## DECLARATION OF KYLE SMITH

I, Kyle Smith, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

- 1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arlington, Virginia. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.'s, Rasier, LLC's, and Rasier-CA, LLC's (collectively, "Uber's") motion to dismiss the cases of certain Plaintiffs represented by Levin Simes LLP ("Levin Simes") for noncompliance with discovery orders.
- 2. I am a partner at the law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, representing Uber in this MDL as well as in Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5188, *In Re: Uber Rideshare Cases*, Case No. CJC-21-005188 (the "JCCP"). I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals and the Bar of the State of New York Court of Appeals, Third Department. I make this declaration based upon matters within my own personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Attached to this declaration as **Exhibit A** is a table identifying 57 Plaintiffs represented by Levin Simes who, as of the date of this submission, have failed to submit a Plaintiff Fact Sheet ("PFS"). The Plaintiffs' deadlines for providing a PFS are identified in the table. 55 of the 57 Plaintiffs were subject to a stipulation extending Plaintiffs' deadlines for providing complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheets. Stipulation & [Proposed] Order Regarding Certain Fact Sheet Deadlines at 4, ECF No. 1819. The Court adopted this stipulation on November 8, 2024. Stipulation & Order Regarding Certain Fact Sheet Deadlines at 7, ECF No. 1856.
- 4. Attached to this declaration as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of a letter Uber sent Levin Simes on November 20, 2024 identifying Plaintiffs represented by that firm who had missed their deadline for submitting a PFS. Each of the Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A is listed in the November 20, 2024 letter.
- 5. On December 19, 2024, Judge Cisneros ordered the Levin Simes Plaintiffs identified in an exhibit to the Declaration of Kyle Smith, ECF No. 1998-2, to provide overdue fact sheets no later than January 10, 2025. Each of the Plaintiffs listed in Exhibit A attached to this

Document 2358-1

Filed 02/18/25

Page 7 of 7

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB